Cultivation Effect of Chitinase-Transgenic Cotton on Functional Bacteria and Fungi in Rhizosphere and Bulk Soil
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Abstract

Background: In consideration for the increasing widespread use of genetically modified (GM) crops, one of the important issues for assessment is the effect of GM crops on soil microbial communities.

Objectives: In this study, T2 chitinase-transgenic cotton (line #57) and its non-transgenic line were investigated for bacterial and fungal dynamics during its development stages.

Material and Methods: The assessments were performed by viable plate count and polymerase chain reaction-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (PCR-DGGE) assays.

Results: Viable plate count analysis showed an increase in community structures and the number of culturable bacteria in rhizosphere of both transgenic and non-transgenic cultivars as compared to bulk soil. PCR-DGGE confirmed results of viable plate count assays of the changes in bacterial and fungal communities for all cotton development stages in rhizosphere and bulk zones. No significant differences in number of functional bacteria were observed between rhizosphere soil of chitinase transgenic and non-chitinase transgenic cotton at one particular stage.

Conclusions: The results indicated that T2 chitinase-transgenic cotton (line #57) might have no adverse effects on community structures and total number of culturable bacteria and fungi in the rhizosphere.
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1. Background

Nowadays, with the advent of agricultural biotechnology, a wide variety of new transgenic crop plants with higher yields and improved traits such as resistance to pests and pathogens and tolerance to herbicides has been developed. This technology has the capacity to promise a great deal of economic and agronomic benefits in the future. In consideration for the increasing widespread use of genetically modified (GM) crops various ecological concerns are emerging, such as effects on non-target organisms and soil ecosystems especially its microorganism. Among these ecological concerns, one of the important issues for assessment is the effect of GM crops on soil microbial communities (1-3).

Microbial communities play a vital role in several essential processes in soil, e.g. turnover of organic materials, nutrient mineralization, controlling the plant pathogens, and soil structure improvement (3, 4). The composition of the rhizosphere microflora is a consequence of interactions between root exudates, soil and environmental conditions (5, 6). Qualitative and quantitative characteristics of root exudation can profoundly modify the structural and functional diversity of the rhizosphere microbial communities (1, 7-9). The presence of an extra protein in the root exudate can have potential influence on the microbial community in the rhizosphere (3, 10-13). Our knowledge of soil microbial diversity is limited in part because many groups of microbes are not cultivable in the laboratory conditions (14). Approximately 1% of the soil bacterial population can be cultured by standard laboratory practices (15, 16). The most knowledge on
natural microbial community composition has been derived from indirect microbiological techniques. It is now recognized among microbiologists that only a small fraction of all bacteria have been isolated and characterized. Nowadays, microbiologists use the molecular, "culture independent" technologies like DGGE (Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis) to fill this void (12, 17).

2. Objectives
The aim of this study was to assess the effects of T₃ chitinase-transgenic cotton on soil microbial communities (bacteria and fungi) under greenhouse conditions. For this purpose, DGGE and viable plate count assays were used to analyze the effects of chitinase-transgenic cotton on soil microbial community composition. DGGE was performed on 16S rRNA gene and 18S rRNA gene in bacteria and fungi, respectively. The results will help to explore the potential environmental risk assessment of chitinase-transgenic cottons on the soil ecosystem.

3. Materials and Methods
3.1. Soil and Plant Materials
Soil was collected from the top layer (0–20 cm) of a cotton field in Varamin, Iran, where no transgenic cotton had ever been planted. The soil was dispensed to the pot and transferred to transgenic greenhouse of Agricultural Biotechnology Research Institute of Iran (ABRII). T₃ chitinase-transgenic cotton, line #57 (18, 19), was used in the test material.

3.2. Experimental Design and Soil Sampling
Transgenic and non-transgenic cotton seeds were planted in completely randomized design (CRD) arrangement with four replications (each replication was mixed of three cotton rhizospher soil) into the pots under controlled temperature, natural light condition, and irrigated 3 times a week. Soil samples (four replications) were collected from rhizosphere and bulk zones at different developmental stages i.e. seedling, squaring, flowering, and boll. All samples were stored immediately at −20°C for further analyses.

3.3. Viable Plate Count Assays
Total bacteria were enumerated using a serial dilution method (20). One gram of sample was suspended in 10 ml sterile water, shaken for 15 min at 220 rpm, and 10-fold serially diluted. The colony forming units (CFU) of bacteria were determined by spreading 100 μL of the diluted samples on appropriate culture media (Tryptic Soy Agar, TSA for bacteria) with two replications. Plates were incubated at 28°C for 3 days and then colonies were counted visually to estimate CFU of total bacteria (21). This method was also used to enumerate the three culturable functional bacteria nitrogen-fixing, potassium-dissolving and inorganic phosphate-dissolving in the rhizosphere by culturing in appropriate culture media. The specific media were used to determine different bacteria types (1⁰ in each case): nitrogen-fixing bacteria (10.0 g glucose, 0.2 g KH₂PO₄, MgSO₄·7H₂O, NaCl 5.0 g CaCO₃, 0.1 g CaSO₄·2H₂O, 15 g agar, pH 7.0); inorganic phosphate-dissolving bacteria (10.0 g glucose, 0.5 g yeast extract, 0.1 g CaCl₂, 0.3 g MgSO₄·7H₂O, 15 g agar, and 2.5 g Ca₃(PO₄)₂ pH 7.2; (22)); and potassium-dissolving bacteria (10.0 g sucrose, 5.0 g CaCO₃, 0.5 g K₂HPO₄, 0.5 g (NH₄)2SO₄, 0.2 g MgSO₄·7H₂O, 15 g agar, pH 7.2–7.4; (10)).

3.4. DNA Extraction and PCR Amplification
Total genomic DNA was extracted from 200 mg of rhizosphere and bulk soil (4 replicate soil samples were mixed) with the PowerSoil® DNA Isolation Kit, based on the manufacturer’s instructions. The quality of genomic DNA was checked through 1% agarose gels and photographed under UV light. The primer pair F357-GC/518 (5’-GC-clamp-CCTACCGAGGGCAGCAG-3’ and 5’-ATTACCAGGCTGCTGG-3’), respectively, was used to amplify 16S rRNA fragment of bacteria community in rhizosphere and bulk soil samples (23). A group-specific primer, Fung-GC and NS1 (5’-GC-clamp-ATTCCCCCTACGGCATGGCTGC-3’ and 5’-GTAAGTGCATATGCTTGTCTC-3’, respectively), was also used to amplify 18S rRNA fragment of fungi community (24). In both cases, the forward primer contained a GC clamp (5’-CGCCCGCCGCGCCGCGGCGGGGCCGCGGCGGCGG CGCCGGGGG-3’) to facilitate separation of the amplicons in a DGGE process. For 16S rRNA, the PCR was performed with the following program: 5 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles at 94°C for 60 s, 51°C for 60 s, and 72°C for 30 s, with a final extension at 72°C for 10 min and for 18S rRNA, 3 min at 94°C followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 50°C for 40 s, and 72°C for 50 s and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were confirmed by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gels and ethidium bromide staining.

3.5. Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (DGGE)
The analysis was carried out with DCode System (Bio-Rad, Milan, Italy) on an 8% polyacrylamide gel (acrylamide/ bis ratio, 37:5:1), under denaturation conditions (urea 7 M and 40% formamide with a denaturing gradient ranging from 20 to 70%); the gels were run in 1x TAE buffer at 120 V and a temperature of 60°C for 5 hours. The gels were immediately stained with 25 μl of 10 mg/ml ethidium bromide (50 μg/ml) in sterile water for 30 min in darkness and then photographed under UV light. Cluster analysis of DGGE banding patterns were performed by using the Dice coefficient for similarity matrix and the unweighted pair group method with mathematical average
(UPGMA) using NTSYS-pc software package, after band detection.

3.6. Data Analysis
Statistical analyses for microbial counts (Log₁₀ CFU) were done by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Duncan test at the 5% level with Excel and SAS software.

4. Results

4.1. Viable Plate Count Assays

Table 1. Analysis of variance of CFUs of cultivable total bacteria and functional bacteria in transgenic and non-transgenic cotton

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>Fvalue</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total bacteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.9206</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth stage</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>453.42</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium-dissolving bacteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth stage</td>
<td>1.483</td>
<td>226.96</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen-fixing bacteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.4118</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth stage</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>128.44</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic phosphate-dissolving bacteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety</td>
<td>0.00003</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9730</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth stage</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>144.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. The population of bacteria in rhizosphere and bulk soil at different growing stages of cotton. rhizosphere (R) and bulk (B) soil.

4.1.2. Functional Bacteria Population
The results of functional bacteria were not variable. There were no significant differences in rhizospheric potassium-dissolving bacteria between transgenic and non-transgenic cotton at seedling, squaring, flowering and boll development stages (Table 1). The maximum population size of potassium-dissolving bacteria was observed during squaring stage of cottons. The number of rhizospheric potassium-dissolving bacteria of transgenic and non-transgenic was returned to the same levels, with no significant differences, at the boll development stage (Fig. 2a). Significant differences in nitrogen-fixing bacteria population size was detected at various growth stages (Table 1). At the boll development stage, the number of nitrogen-fixing bacteria in rhizosphere soil of transgenic and non-transgenic cotton did not differ significantly (Fig. 2b).

4.1.1. Total Bacterial Population in Rhizosphere and Bulk Soil
The analysis of data revealed no significant differences in bacterial population size in rhizosphere and bulk soil between transgenic and non-transgenic cotton (P > 0.05) (Table 1). There were significant differences in bacterial population size in rhizosphere soil among growth stages in both transgenic and non-transgenic plants, but not for bulk soil (Fig. 1). The maximum population of rhizospheric bacteria was detected at the squaring stage of cottons and then decreased in flowering and boll development stages in both transgenic and non-transgenic samples.

4.2. Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (DGGE)
DGGE banding patterns were slight variation among chitinase-transgenic cotton and control at the different growth stage, whereas the same dominant bands were found between chitinase-transgenic and non-transgenic cotton at the same growth stage in both PCR products of 16S rRNA and 18S rRNA (Fig. 3) of rhizosphere samples. Based on the bacterial and fungal DGGE profiles (Fig. 3), the cluster analysis showed that chitinase-transgenic cotton and non-transgenic cotton at seedling formed single cluster, and the remaining samples fell into another cluster (Fig. 4). According to the results there are no significant differences between microbial dynamic of transgenic cotton and non-transgenic cotton during 4 growth stages.

5. Discussion
Our results showed significant variations (P ≤ 0.05) in bacterial population size of rhizosphere soil in both transgenic and non-transgenic cottons during different
growth stages. Though, no significant variations were observed in bulk soil. Nevertheless, there were no significant differences in total microbial population size in rhizosphere soil between transgenic cotton and non-transgenic parents.

Figure 2. The population of functional bacteria in rhizosphere and bulk soil at different growing stages of cotton. A) potassium-dissolving bacteria, B) nitrogen-fixing bacteria, C) inorganic phosphate-dissolving bacteria. rhizosphere (R) and bulk (B) soil.

These results are in accordance with those of Wang, Shen (16), who reported that 1-year-old chitinase-transgenic (McChit1) tobacco (T-Chit) were non-toxic to the number of cultivable bacteria and fungi population in studied purple soil during tobacco growth. In addition, no significant differences were observed between rhizosphere soil of chitinase transgenic and non-chitinase transgenic cotton in the numbers of cultivable potassium-dissolving bacteria, nitrogen-fixing bacteria and inorganic phosphates-dissolving bacteria during same stages. Similarly, Hu et al. (2008) found no significant differences between rhizospheric Bt and non-Bt cotton soil in the number of cultivable functional bacteria (nitrogen-fixing bacteria, potassium-dissolving bacteria and organic and inorganic phosphates dissolving bacteria) during the four sampling stages in the four fields.

Figure 3. DGGE profiles of amplified 16S rRNA regions obtained from rhizosphere soil A) and 18S rRNA regions obtained from rhizosphere soil B) in different growth stages of transgenic and non-transgenic cotton. T: transgenic cotton, C: non-transgenic cotton, numbers 1 up to 4, four growth stage of cotton: seedling, squaring, flowering and boll development stage.

Icoz and Stotzky (2008) also reported there were no statistically significant differences in microorganism’s populations, the enzymes activity, and the pH between Bt and non-Bt corn soils after 4 sequential years of corn
planting. Meanwhile, Li, Liu (25) demonstrated that long-term cultivation of Bt transgenic cottons do not exert any significant changes on community structure of bacteria, actinomycetes and fungi. Rui, Yi (10) indicated that there were no correlations between Bt toxin levels and number of the functional bacteria. Similarly, Bt toxin may not directly change the numbers of functional bacteria in the rhizosphere (10). Li, Liu (25) reported that the presence of Bt-transgenic oilseed rape in wild mustard populations had no direct effects on the rhizosphere nematode and microbial communities.

The diversity of bacteria and fungi in rhizosphere was determined by PCR-DGGE. DGGE results showed similar banding patterns for PCR products of 16s rRNA and 18s rRNA of rhizosphere and bulk samples. Cluster analysis revealed that the effect of cotton development growth stages was more powerful than the effect of chitinase transgenic plants on the soil microbial communities. This means chitinase cotton had no effect on the rhizosphere nematode and microbial communities.

6. Conclusions
In our study, the results indicated that bacterial communities in rhizosphere soil were modified considerably by the growth stage, but there was no significant difference between microflora of chitinase and control cotton rhizosphere. It is noticeable that alteration in soil microbial community had several reasons such as, the effect of climate and season, soil type and structure, plant species, and plant developmental stage (6). Similarly many other previous studies, revealed that the effect of transgenic crops were minor, transient or no significant on microbial populations in rhizosphere soil (2-4, 8, 27-30).

However, to obtain great knowledge or insight about the effect of transgenic plants on microbial community and take a more complete notion about microbial diversity, we need to use other technology like Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) approaches for meta-genome sequencing.
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