Genetic Transformation of Oat Mediated by Agrobacterium is enhanced with Sonication and Vacuum Infiltration
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Background: Oat (Avena sativa) with high nutritive value and fiber content is used as the main food grain in many countries for human diet as well as animal feed. Recently, it became difficult to transfer new genes through the conventional breeding due to the lack of desirable traits.

Objectives: The current study aimed at achieving a standardized protocol for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation in oat.

Materials and Methods: For oat transformation, mature seeds were sterilized, germinated, and used for explants generation. Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101 with the binary vector pCAMBIA 1305.1, which carries gus as reporter gene, was utilized in the transformation. The co-cultivation treatment assisted with sonication, and vacuum infiltration, and their combination was employed for transformation with different incubation periods of 48, 72, and 96 hours under the dark conditions.

Results: Among the different transformation treatments, the vacuum treatment with 72 hours dark incubation had the best results. Vacuum infiltration of the cultures from leaf base produced a maximum of 25% hygromycin-resistant explants. These explants upon GUS assay and PCR analysis revealed 21.85% and 19.04% transformation efficiency, respectively.

Conclusions: It could be concluded that vacuum infiltration assisted Agrobacterium-mediated transformation is the most efficient method to conduct the genetic improvement of the oat using transformation protocol.
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1. Background
Oat is grown as a multi-purpose crop; it is used as a grain, forage, and a rotation crop. It has rich nutritional value, since it contains the soluble fibers with hypocholesterolemic properties (1). It has excellent growth habit, rapid recovery after cutting, and excellent quality fodder. In India, the yield of oat is quite low, compared with other cereal crops, owing to various environmental stresses. A number of efforts are made towards the development of new cultivars of oat with an improved disease, pest, and herbicide tolerance. The genetic improvement of the commercially important oat cultivars through the conventional breeding is arduous, slow, and costly. A huge improvement is noticed in several monocots by incorporating various agronomical traits using genetic engineering (2, 3). The development of a robust regeneration protocol is necessary to transform cereals (4). Agrobacterium-mediated transformation is a complex procedure controlled by diverse parameters of the bacterial, host, and environmental origin. By this technique of genetic transformation, a wide range of characters such as improvement of the plant tolerance to the environmental stresses, disease resistance, enhanced crop productivity, pest resistance, phytoremediation, and improved nutritional content of the crop plants are developed (5). To increase the transformation efficacy in the plants, sonication and vacuum infiltration play an important role (6, 7). Sonication produces micro-wounds by cavitation throughout the surface of tissue whereas vacuum infiltration provides a rapid entry of the Agrobacterium into the plant tissue. There are several reports of the enhanced genetic transformation using these techniques in various crops namely radish (8), citrus (9), cowpea (10), banana (6), lentil (11), and sugarcane (7). Gasparis et al., (12) reported Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of the oat using immature embryo and leaf explants. In the current investigation, efforts were made to improve the efficiency of the Agrobacterium-mediated...
transformation in oat by a conjoint effect of sonication and vacuum infiltration.

2. Objectives
The current study aimed at generating a proficient gene transfer system in oat cv. JO-1 using sonication-assisted Agrobacterium-mediated transformation (SAAT) and the vacuum-infiltration-assisted Agrobacterium-mediated transformation (VIAAT). The influence of different explants, sonication, and vacuum infiltration were evaluated in Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation of oat cv. JO-1.

3. Materials and Methods
3.1 Sterilization and Germination of Oat Seeds
Seeds of cultivar JO-1 were procured from the All India Coordinated Forage Research Project (ICAR), Department of Agronomy, JNKVV Jabalpur. Initially, oat seeds were rinsed thoroughly with water. Further, the seeds were kept in 1% Tween-20 solution for 20 minutes and then treated for two minutes with 70% ethanol. The seeds were again treated with 0.5% HgCl₂ solution for five minutes. Finally, seeds were germinated on the Murashige and Skoog (MS) basal medium (13). The six-day-old seedlings were used to obtain leaf base explants, whereas mature embryos were excised from overnight soaked seeds under aseptic conditions.

3.2 Screening of the Explants for Antibiotic Sensitivity
The explants were inoculated into the MS medium as a control, as well as different concentrations of the hygromycin (5 to 50 mg.L⁻¹) and cefotaxime (50 to 750 mg.L⁻¹) to assess antibiotic sensitivity.

3.3 Agrobacterium Culture
For genetic transformation studies, Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 with plasmid pCAMBIA1305.1 (11846 base pair) harboring gus (β-glucuronidase) gene and catalytic intron under the regulation of CaMV35S promoter and nos terminator was used. The vector also had hptII (hygromycin phosphotransferase II) gene for plant selection with CaMV35S promoter and polyA terminator (Fig. 1A). A. tumefaciens was inoculated into a 50-mL Luria Bertani (LB) medium supplemented with rifampicin and kanamycin (50 mg.L⁻¹ each) and incubated at 28°C for 16 hours at 200 rpm. Agrobacterium culture of OD 0.6 (at wavelength of 600 nm) were centrifuged for five minutes at 28000 g and the bacterial cells’ pellet was dissolved in the same amount of the co-cultivation broth (1X B₅ medium, 3% sucrose, 100 mg.L⁻¹ MES, 100 μM acetosyringone, 1 mg.L⁻¹ GA₃, 1.67 mg.L⁻¹ BAP, 400 mg.L⁻¹ L-cysteine, 248 mg.L⁻¹ Na-thiosulphate, and 154 mg.L⁻¹ DTT).

3.4 Sonication-assisted Agrobacterium-mediated Transformation
For SAAT (17), calli developed from embryo and leaf base (Fig. 1B) were sonicated in a bath sonicator (HF-frequency: 35 KHz) submerged in 20 mL co-cultivation medium suspended with Agrobacterium for 30 seconds. These calli were placed at 25±2°C for 3-4 days in the dark conditions on a medium combination similar to cocultivation medium with 8 g.L⁻¹ agar, but without Agrobacterium.

3.5 Vacuum-infiltration-assisted Agrobacterium-mediated transformation
During co-cultivation, explants submerged in cocultivation medium with Agrobacterium were exposed to vacuum infiltration for 15 minutes.

3.6 Sonication and Vacuum-Infiltration-assisted Agrobacterium-mediated Transformation
Conditions optimized in SAAT and VIAAT were applied in combination with achieve maximum Agrobacterium-mediated transformation efficiency (8, 9).

3.7 Selection and Plant Regeneration
The calli were washed with MS broth supplemented with cefotaxime (250 mg.L⁻¹). The calli were blot dried on sterile filter paper before placing them on MS medium containing hygromycin 20 mg.L⁻¹ and cefotaxime 500 mg.L⁻¹. Calli showing growth and morphogenesis (Fig. 1C and D) on 20 mg.L⁻¹ hygromycin supplemented MS medium were considered as putatively transformed plants. These calli were three times sub-cultured on hygromycin-containing medium at 10-12 days intervals.

3.8 GUS Histochemical Assay
Histochemical GUS analysis in explants was assayed after the third round of selection on antibiotics as described by Jefferson (14). Briefly, 10 explants with shoots were incubated in X-Gluc solution for overnight at room temperature under dark conditions, and washed with ethanol to remove chlorophyll. Histochemical localization of GUS activity was examined under stereomicroscope (Fig. 1E and F).

3.9 PCR Analysis of the Putative Transgenic Plants
The genomic DNA was isolated from the putative transformed plant tissue as per Saghai-Maroof et al., (15). PCR amplification of the marker genes: hptII and the CAMV 35S promoter region were performed to confirm the transformation as described by Sapre et al. (16). The amplification products of the hptII gene (499 bp) and CaMV 35S promoter (500 bp) were subjected to the electrophoresis and compared with those of the positive control (plant transformation vector; i.e, pCAMBIA plasmid) and negative control (DNA from non-transformed plants) (Fig. 2A and B).
Figure 1. Regeneration and transgenic plant selection of oat; (A) pCAMBIA 1305 vector map; (B) Embryogenic callus from mature embryo explants; (C) Organogenesis from callus; (D) Green plantlets; (E, F) Expression of the gus gene in different tissues; (G, H) Plant with roots.

Figure 2. PCR Analysis of the putative transformed oat plants; A) PCR amplification of hptII gene; B) PCR amplification of the gus gene; lane M, 100 bp DNA ladder; P, positive control; lanes 1-5, putative transformants; N, non-transformed control plant.
4. Results

4.1. Effect of Hygromycin and Cefotaxime

The sensitivity of the explants to the antibiotic hygromycin was established prior to the actual transformation experiments by finding the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) to select presumed transformants. In the absence of antibiotics, the explants regenerated normally and produced calli and shoots; however, morphogenic ability of the cultures was inhibited with the addition of 20 mg L⁻¹ hygromycin, since adventitious shoots exhibited very slow growth. While, 25 mg L⁻¹ hygromycin inhibited shoot regeneration and resulted in the whitening of the cultures within 1-2 weeks. Explants dried due to necrosis within one week of the culture, when cultured on higher concentrations of hygromycin (30-40 mg L⁻¹). Hence, MS medium fortified with 20 mg L⁻¹ of hygromycin was used to select the transformants in the subsequent transformation experiments.

4.2. Influence of Co-Cultivation Duration

Duration of co-cultivation was influential on transformation efficiency. The 72-hour duration explants produced 13.87% (embryo) and 14.53% (leaf base) hygromycin-resistant cultures. The transformation efficiency at 72 hours was significantly higher compared with those of the 48 and 96 hours. These antibiotic-resistant cultures revealed the transformation efficiency of 7.36% and 6.71% in GUS histochemical assay and 7.30% and 6.09% in the PCR analysis of the transformation efficiency from the embryo and leaf-base explants, respectively (Table 1).

Table 1. Overall effects of co-cultivation period and different treatments on transformation efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Number of Cultures</th>
<th>Explant</th>
<th>Transformation Efficiency, %</th>
<th>Hygromycin-resistant Culture</th>
<th>GUS Putative Culture</th>
<th>PCR Putative Culture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sonication time (hour)</strong></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100 Embryo 22.59 ± 1.23</td>
<td>15.56 ± 0.87</td>
<td>14.78 ± 1.28</td>
<td>102 Leaf base 21.00 ± 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>75 Embryo 24.19 ± 0.87</td>
<td>19.78 ± 1.16</td>
<td>19.26 ± 1.59</td>
<td>79 Leaf base 23.22 ± 1.03</td>
<td>18.70 ± 1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>68 Embryo 18.11 ± 1.76</td>
<td>14.59 ± 0.93</td>
<td>13.67 ± 1.11</td>
<td>73 Leaf base 19.89 ± 0.76</td>
<td>14.33 ± 0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Vacuum infiltration** | 48 | 102 Embryo 15.93 ± 0.98 | 11.00 ± 0.81 | 10.15 ± 1.18 | 110 Leaf base 14.44 ± 0.91 | 11.89 ± 0.99 | 10.67 ± 1.02 |
| 72 | 104 Embryo 24.33 ± 0.81 | 21.96 ± 1.18 | 20.74 ± 1.08 | 85 Leaf base 25.00 ± 0.96 | 21.85 ± 1.65 | 19.04 ± 0.96 |
| 96 | 96 Embryo 17.37 ± 1.21 | 13.67 ± 1.41 | 12.78 ± 0.84 | 93 Leaf base 18.89 ± 1.17 | 13.56 ± 1.29 | 11.33 ± 0.92 |

| **Sonication + vacuum infiltration** | 48 | 89 Embryo 20.22 ± 0.88 | 14.30 ± 1.16 | 13.85 ± 0.94 | 84 Leaf base 20.00 ± 0.71 | 14.11 ± 0.88 | 13.33 ± 0.78 |
| 72 | 86 Embryo 21.41 ± 1.10 | 17.67 ± 0.75 | 16.30 ± 0.71 | 102 Leaf base 21.44 ± 0.89 | 18.70 ± 0.87 | 17.44 ± 1.21 |
| 96 | 114 Embryo 17.78 ± 1.21 | 13.22 ± 0.82 | 13.07 ± 0.65 | 112 Leaf base 16.30 ± 1.56 | 12.11 ± 1.20 | 11.22 ± 0.98 |

| Control | 48 | 103 Embryo 11.30 ± 1.37 | 9.09 ± 0.78 | 8.38 ± 1.05 | 95 Leaf base 10.69 ± 0.98 | 8.78 ± 0.72 | 7.03 ± 0.89 |
| 72 | 99 Embryo 13.87 ± 1.05 | 7.36 ± 0.65 | 7.30 ± 0.71 | 98 Leaf base 14.53 ± 1.13 | 6.71 ± 0.54 | 6.09 ± 0.69 |
| 96 | 96 Embryo 9.02 ± 0.86 | 4.90 ± 0.43 | 3.94 ± 0.94 | 94 Leaf base 7.48 ± 0.73 | 4.04 ± 0.69 | 3.56 ± 0.75 |

Data are expressed as mean values of three independent experiments ± standard deviation; means followed by the same letters are not significant at 5% level.
4.3. Efficacy of SAAT
Under SAAT technique, cultures were subjected to ultrasound and inoculated in the Agrobacterium culture. With this technique, embryos and leaf base explants generated 24.19% and 23.22% hygromycin-resistant cultures after 72 hours of the co-cultivation, respectively. Analysis of the transformation efficiency on the embryo cultures showed the efficiency rates of 19.78% and 19.26% using GUS assay and gene specific PCR, respectively. Similarly, leaf base cultures responded to 18.70% and 17.58% transformation efficiency, according to GUS assay and PCR analysis, respectively (Table 1).

4.4. Effect of VIAAT on Transformation Efficiency
Vacuum pressure for 15 minutes at 750 mmHg produced 24.33% and 25% hygromycin-resistant cultures in the embryo and leaf bases, respectively. The embryo explants exhibited 21.96% and 20.74% of the transformation efficiencies when analyzed on the basis of GUS assay and gene specific PCR analysis, respectively. Whereas, the leaf base explants upon GUS and PCR analysis produced 21.85% and 19.04% transformation efficiency, respectively (Table 1).

4.5. Effect of SVAAT on Transformation Efficiency
Upon the employment of both sonication and vacuum techniques, 21.4% of the cultures from embryos and leaf base survived on hygromycin after 72 hours of co-cultivation. On the basis of histochemical GUS assay and gene specific PCR, the embryo explants exhibited 17.67% and 16.30% transformation efficiency, respectively. Whereas, 18.70% and 17.44% transformation efficiency was conferred by the leaf explants on the basis of GUS histochemical assay and PCR analysis, respectively (Table 1).

5. Discussion
The genetic and physiological status of the cells varies in different explants. However, these features affect the transformation efficiency. Along with explant, the co-cultivation period of the cultures with Agrobacterium to assist transfer of T-DNA into plant cell also influences the efficiency of transformation. Determination of competence for transformation at different co-cultivation duration, two explants namely mature embryos and leaf base were utilized. In the current study, the maximum transformation efficiency was obtained for the mature embryo explants at 72 hours of co-cultivation duration. The study results were comparable with those of the transformation studies conducted on oat cultivars-cv. Bajka showing 12.3% transformation efficiency using immature embryo as the explant (12). Co-cultivation duration of three days was sufficient for an efficient transformation. The longer co-cultivation duration resulted in a higher transient expression of the transgene in the soybean (17).

Agrobacterium-mediated transformation assisted with sonication was effective in the transfer of foreign DNA into cells in a number of species, especially those where the host was recalcitrant to the Agrobacterium-mediated transformation (17).

Employment of embryos and leaf base explants produced 24.19% and 23.22% hygromycin-resistant cultures respectively, by the employment of SAAT followed by 72 hours of the co-cultivation period. The improved transformation via SAAT could possibly be due to micro-wounding on the surface of tissue, which aided in the entry of Agrobacterium into the plant tissue. The enhanced level of transient expression of the gus gene in soybean was observed by treating embryogenic cultures with sonication for 30 seconds (18).

The duration of the co-cultivation period after sonication had enhanced effects on the transformation efficiency.

Creation of vacuum may cause the release of gases from the culture probably through wounds instead of stomata. This phenomenon exposes more plant cells to the Agrobacterium, making it more susceptible to the transformation compared with the one where Agrobacterium is present in the culture superficially. The current study results suggested that the utilization of vacuum infiltration remarkably increased the transformation efficacy than the other treatments. VIAAT technique is reported for the efficient transformation of rice (19) and wheat (20), as well. When both sonication and vacuum were applied simultaneously, more than 21% of the hygromycin-resistant cultures were obtained in both explant types. The lower transformation efficiencies of SVAAT method in comparison with those of the individual effect of processes (i.e., SAAT and VAAT) may be attributed to the damage of the callus’s tissue due to sonication followed by vacuum infiltration, hence the plant cells could not recover the incurred damage. The current study results were in congruence with those of the Subramanyam et al. (6), where the transformation of banana was conducted using SVAAT.

6. Conclusions
The current study results demonstrated a promising protocol for Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation in the oat. To date, this is the only maiden report of the oat transformation using SAAT and VIAAT. Duration of co-cultivation, sonication, and vacuum infiltration positively influence the oat transformation. The developed transformation protocol involves co-cultivation of A. tumefaciens along with cultures developed from leaf base under vacuum (15 inch Hg) for 10-15 minutes in the presence of 100 μM acetosyringone. The selection of transformant was conducted on MS medium supplemented with 20 mg/L hygromycin. This transformation protocol could be used efficiently to improve oat considering different agronomical and nutritive traits via genetic engineering.
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