Targeting Colorectal Cancer Cell Lines Using Nanobodies; AgSK1 as a Potential Target
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Background: Colorectal cancer is the third most common type of aggressive cancers. Chemotherapy, surgery, and radiotherapy are the common therapeutic options for treating this cancer. Due to the adverse side-effects of these methods, immunotherapy is considered as an appropriate alternative therapeutic option. Treatment through the application of monoclonal antibodies is considered as a novel alternative therapeutic method for cancers. The variable fragments of the antibodies’ heavy chain or VHHS have a wide application in molecular biology and biotechnology. VHHS are compatible with the phage display technology which allows rapid and high throughput screening for antibodies isolation.

Objectives: We aimed to use naive VHH phage library to isolate a specific nanobody against colorectal tumor associated antigen; the AgSK1.

Materials and Methods: In this research, naive VHH phage library was panned against two colorectal cell lines; LS174T and HT29 expressing different levels of AgSK1 tumor associated marker. The high affinity binders were selected and subcloned for higher expression levels of the VHH. The affinity and specificity of the isolated VHH were tested using ELISA. The reactivity of the VHH toward cancer cells was analyzed by competitive ELISA applying sera isolated from colorectal cancer patients.

Results: Results show that the isolated VHH recognizes and binds to the colorectal cancer cells with a high affinity. Moreover, the isolated nanobody is able to compete with the antibodies in the patient sera for the binding to the cancer cells.

Conclusions: Results suggest that this nanobody has a specific reaction toward colorectal cells and can be used for further investigation on the tumor associated antigens or production of mimotopes useful for immunotherapy.
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1. Background
Colorectal cancer is the third cause of death after prostate and lung cancers among men and the second after breast cancer among women (1). The annual death rate caused by colorectal cancer is more than 50,000. In addition, more than 120,000 new cases are diagnosed each year. The incidence of colorectal cancer is much higher for the age range above 50 (2).

Early diagnosis of colorectal cancer has a significant impact on the disease’s treatment in the initial stages (3). 40 to 50% of colorectal cancer cases will develop metastasis in the course of the disease (4), and the average lifetime of patients after diagnosis with metastatic cancer is less than 5 years, therefore, early diagnosis and treatment reduces the risk of metastasis (5). In most cancers, the available treatments are used to mitigate the pain and control of symptoms, thus are not considered as curative (6).

Chemotherapy is considered as a common treatment method for most cancers, however, the side-effects associated with the therapeutic agent prevent the effective dose usage for eradicating cancer. Also,
using low dosage often results in the development of resistance to the chemotherapeutic agent (7).

As an alternative method, treatment with monoclonal antibodies has greater advantages compared to the chemo- or radiotherapy (8). Cancer cells have different expression patterns and development of antibodies recognizing these differences can specifically target cancer cells (9). Due to the specificity of monoclonal antibodies in recognition of their target, they can be employed to deliver chemotherapeutic drugs to the cancer cells or be modified to develop immunotoxins that specifically target cancer cells (10).

Factors associated with the appropriateness of the antibodies in the cancer therapy are: the high affinity towards tumor associated antigen, homology with human proteins, the absence of protease sensitive domains, high solubility, and stability (11). Antibody fragments such as Fab and ScFv can also interact with the antigens. These fragments have a number of advantages over the whole antibodies, such as, a higher tissue penetration, a property useful against solid tumors, higher serum clearance which is helpful in case of immunotoxins and radiolabel fragments, plus lower immunogenicity which increases its half-life and reduces the needed dosage (12). Nevertheless, the high expense associated with the development of these antibodies and the need for eukaryotic expression system limit their use (13).

Antibody fragments bear most of the advantages attributed to the monoclonal antibodies and antibodies. VHHs (variable fragments of heavy chain antibodies) or nanobodies are fragments of the naturally developing single chain antibodies of cameldid species and sharks (14). These antibodies lack the light chain of conventional antibodies and possess antigen binding capability. Due to lack of light chains in the antibody binding fragments of these single chain antibodies, the VHH size is reduced to less than 15 KDa along with a high solubility potential. These properties provide VHH with high tissue diffusion rate necessary for treatment of the solid tumors (15, 16). Further advantages of the VHHs are the simplicity of expression in prokaryotes and other microorganisms, high homology with human IgG antibodies, and compatibility with the high throughput selection systems such as phage-, yeast- and ribosome-display (17). Furthermore, VHHs have extended CDR3 region that enables these fragments to recognize the hidden epitopes such as enzyme active sites and hapten, as well (18).

Isolated from lymphocytes of the colorectal patients, SK1 monoclonal antibody specifically recognizes and binds to the AgSK1 surface protein. Immunohistochemistry and dot-blot have revealed that this antibody can react with several colorectal cancer cell lines, while it is does not bind to the normal cells such as fibroblasts, lung cell lines, blood cells, and other cells derived from normal tissues. These features show the specificity of this antibody toward colorectal cancer tissues (19).

Colorectal cancer derived cell lines show different expression levels of AgSK1; the highest expression level of which belongs to the SW620 and Ls174T cell lines, and the lowest expression levels were seen for CaCO2 and HT29 cell lines. A higher expression level of AgSK1 is associated with a higher likelihood for metastasis development (20).

2. Objectives
Because the AgSK1 protein is still an unknown antigen, in this research we aimed to isolate a VHH nanobody that could specifically recognize and bind to the cell line that expresses AgSK1 tumor associated antigen. We then compared the reactivity of the isolated VHH with the control cell lines and sera of the colorectal patients.

3. Materials and Methods
3.1. Bacteria and Cell Lines
E. coli BL21(DE3) and E. coli TG1 were obtained from Shahed University bacterial collection and were cultured in Luria–Bertani (LB) medium (1% NaCl, 1% Peptone, and 0.5% yeast extract).

HT29, Ls174T, and HeLa cell lines were purchased from Pasteur Institute of Iran (Tehran, Iran) and were cultured in the DMEM (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium) medium containing 10% FBS at 37°C and 5% CO2.

3.2. Preparation of the VHH Phage Library
The naive VHH phage library was from our previous studies (18). Briefly, peripheral blood lymphocytes were isolated from a Camelus dromedarius and used for total RNA extraction, cDNA was generated, and VHH genes were amplified using nested PCR. The library was created by cloning all the VHH genes into pComb3X phagemid vector. After transformation of E. coli TG1 bacteria with the library the phage particles harboring the VHH gene were propagated by infecting the bacteria with the helper phage (M13K07, Amersham, USA), as explained before (18). VHH coding phage particles were isolated from supernatant following to the removal of bacteria by centrifugation and were used for bio-panning.
3.3. Biopanning Against Colorectal Cell Lines

LS147T cell line which expresses high levels of the AgSK1 protein was used for the selection of VHH nanobodies, whereas HeLa cell line lacking AgSK1 was used in a counter-selection step to remove non-specific VHH nanobodies.

Briefly, cells were cultured in the complete DMEM medium (10% FBS 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 unit.mL⁻¹ penicillin and 100 μg.mL⁻¹ Streptomycin) at 37 °C supplied with 5% CO₂ for 24 hours. A total number of 5×10⁵ cells from each cell line were counted and transferred into separate 1.5 mL microtubes containing 1 mL complete DMEM medium and incubated for 2 hours. Cells were sedimented at 1200 ×g and washed twice with 0.5 mL of cold PBS. The total amount of 150 μL of the phage library was added to the microtubes containing HeLa cell line and incubated for 2 hours. After centrifugation at 1200 ×g at 4 °C for 5 minutes, the supernatant containing unbound phages was removed and added to the microtubes containing Ls174T cell line. After 2 hours of incubation, cells were centrifuged as before, washed three times with cold PBS, followed by three times of washing with PBS-T (PBS + 0.05% Tween-20). The supernatant was removed and the bound phages were eluted by addition of 100 μL Glycine-HCl solution (2M HCl, pH was adjusted to 2.2 with Glycine). After 10 minutes of incubation, the eluted phages were harvested by centrifugation and were neutralized to pH 7.2 by the addition of 1M Tris buffer, pH 9. The eluted phages were used to infect E. coli TG1 at mid-log phase.

The phage particles were propagated as described before (21) and were used for the subsequent panning rounds. A total of four rounds of biopanning were performed. To isolate the highest affinity binders, the concentration of Tween-20 in the washing solution was gradually increased to reach 0.3% in the final round of panning.

3.4. Selection of VHH Clones

Polyclonal phage ELISA was performed to select the highest affinity VHH nanobodies from each round of panning. A total number of 5×10⁴ from each of Ls174T, HT29, and HeLa cell lines were cultured in the separate wells of the microplate with 200 μL complete DMEM medium and cultured for 24 hours at 37 °C supplemented with the 5% CO₂. The medium was removed and cells were washed with 200 μL of cold PBS. 100 μL of the eluted phages, which equals to 3×10⁸ of the phage particles from each round of panning was added to each well. The plate was incubated for 1 hour at 37 °C. The cells were washed three times with 200 μL of PBS and subsequently three times of 200 μL PBS-T (0.05% Tween-20). 100 μL of anti-M13 antibody conjugated with HRP (Diluted to 1:9000 with PBS) (Amersham, USA) was added to each well and the plate was incubated for 30 minutes at 37 °C. The supernatant was removed and cells were washed three times with PBS-T. Subsequently, 100 μL of TMB solution was added to each well and the plate was incubated for 15 minutes. The reaction was stopped by addition of 100 μL 3N H₂SO₄. The OD was read at 450 nm.

VHH clones showing the highest absorbance were selected. A total number of 20 clones were selected and analyzed for binding activity as described above.

3.5. Soluble Expression of VHH Nanobodies

The clone with the highest absorbance was selected for soluble expression. The VHH gene was cloned into pET28a expression vector. The VHH gene was amplified using primers; Fr4-EcoRI and Fr1-HindIII (18) and then was cloned into pET28a vector using EcoRI and HindIII restriction digestion and subsequent cloning. The construct was transferred into E. coli BL21(DE3). The expression was induced with 1 mM IPTG (isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside) at mid-log phase. Cells were collected six hours after induction by centrifugation and re-suspended in lysis buffer (100 mM NaH₂PO₄, 10 mM Tris base, 8 M urea, pH 8). The cells were lysed by sonication and incubated at 37 °C for 18 hours to dissolve inclusion bodies. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 12000 ×g for 15 minutes and the protein content was analyzed by 12% SDS-PAGE.

VHH nanobody was purified using Ni-NTA affinity chromatography and confirmed by western blotting. The VHH nanobody was refolded by dialysis against descending concentration of urea in PBS. Protein concentration was determined by Bradford method.

3.6. Affinity Analysis Against Colorectal Cell Lines

Ls174T, HT29, and HeLa cell lines, each at the concentration of 5×10⁴ were used for affinity determination. For each cell line, four concentrations of nanobody were tested. Cells were transferred into 1.5 mL microtubes and nanobody at the concentrations of 0, 1, 3, and 6 μg in 100 μL of PBS was added to each test tube separately. The tubes were incubated for 2 hours and then washed 6 times using PBS-T (0.05% Tween-20). Anti-His tag antibody (Amersham, USA) was added and the tubes were incubated for 30 minutes at 37 °C. After washing the cells, 100 μL of TMB solution was added and tubes were incubated for 15 minutes. The reaction was stopped using 100 μL of 3N H₂SO₄ and the absorbance was read at 450 nm.
3.7. Analysis of VHH Target by Western Blotting

For analysis of the target recognized by the VHH, 10^6 cells from each of the Ls174T, HT29, and HeLa cell lines were suspended in 200 μL of lysis buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 - 100 mM NaCl - 0.5% (v/v) Triton X-100) separately and were incubated at 4°C for 15 minutes. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 1200 × g at 4°C for 5 minutes. The supernatant was loaded into the wells of 12% SDS-PAGE and subsequently the electrophoresed proteins were transferred onto the nitrocellulose membrane using western blot system (BioRad). The nitrocellulose membrane was incubated in the blocking solution containing 5% skim milk and PBS for 18 hours at 4°C. Following to washing the membrane, nanobody solution at the concentration of 300 μg mL^-1 was added, and the membrane was incubated at 37°C for 2 hours. The membrane was washed with PBS-T (0.05% Tween-20) and anti-his tag antibody was added. The membrane was washed after 1 hour and DAB (diaminobenzidine) solution (30 mM tris-HCl pH 7.2, 0.6 mg mL^-1 DAB, and 10 μL mL^-1 H2O2) was added. The reaction was stopped by addition of PBS.

3.8. Analysis of the Binding Activity by Competitive ELISA

In order to test the reactivity of isolated VHH, a competitive ELISA was designed using colorectal cancer cell lines and sera of patients suffering colorectal cancer. Blood samples were obtained from Cancer Research Institute, Imam Khomeini hospital, Tehran, Iran. Blood samples of healthy individuals were used as negative control.

A total number of 5×10^6 cells from each of Ls174T, HT29, and HeLa cell lines were separately transferred into 1.5 mL microtube. 100 μL of 1:25 diluted sera and 100 μL of VHH nanobody (6 μg) were added to each tube. Two control reactions, one without VHH nanobody and the other with sera of the healthy individuals were conducted, as well. After 2 hours of incubation at 37°C, cells were washed three times with PBS and then anti-His tag antibody was added to each of microtube. The assay was continued as described in the section of “Affinity analysis against Colorectal Cell Lines.”

In a different reaction 200 μL of sera was added to the same number of cells and cells were washed after 2 hours of incubation and 200 μL of VHH nanobody was added. The concentrations of the sera and VHH were the same as the first reaction. The assay was continued as above.

4. Results

4.1. Bio-panning

The generated VHH-Phage library was confirmed by PCR using specific VHH primers on random colonies isolated from the library (Fig. 1-A). PCR was performed on the phages isolated from each panning round to
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**Figure 1.** A) Colony PCR on the naive library. Lane 1; 400 bp band represents nanobody gene. Lane 2; Standard DNA molecular weight marker. Lane 3; Negative control. B) Colony PCR on bacteria infected with the phages obtained from panning rounds. Lane 1; Standard DNA molecular weight marker. Lanes 2 to 5; Panning rounds 1 to 4 respectively. C) Lane 1; Digestion of pET28a with EcoRI and HindIII, Lane 2; Digested PCR product of the nanobody using EcoRI and HindIII restriction enzymes. D) Lane 3; PCR using specific nanobody primers on the pET28a clone bearing the VHH gene. The 400 bp band confirms the cloning the VHH gene into the pET28a vector. Lane 2; PCR using specific nanobody primer on the pET28a vector. As seen in the figure no bands can be seen. E) Restriction digestion of the pET28a vector bearing the nanobody gene. Digestion was done using EcoRI and HindIII enzymes flanking the nanobody gene. As shown in the figure the 400 bp band represents the nanobody gene.
confirm selection of VHH coding phage particles (Fig. 1-B). Cloning of the nanobody in the pET28a was confirmed using PCR on the construct (Fig. 1-D) and by digestion using EcoRI and HindIII enzymes. (Fig. 1-E).

Progression of biopanning rounds was monitored using polyclonal phage ELISA on Ls174t, HT29, and HeLa cell lines (Fig. 2). The third round showed the highest absorbance and was selected for further selection of VHH clones.

Twenty clones were selected from the third round of panning and were analyzed for the binding activity toward Ls174t, HT29, and HeLa cell lines. The clone number 4 that was expressing the highest amount of AgSK1, showed the highest affinity toward Ls174t cell line (Fig. 3). This clone was selected for subcloning and expressing the nanobody in a soluble condition.

4.2. Expression of VHH Nanobody
The clone number 4 which showed the highest affinity
toward Ls174t cell line was subcloned into pET28a in order to express in the *E. coli*. The gene was amplified using VHH subcloning primers and then cloned into the EcoRI and HindIII restriction sites of the pET28a vector. Cloning was confirmed by PCR (Fig. 1-C). The expression of VHH was induced using 1 mM IPTG and the VHH nanobody was purified using Ni-NTA affinity chromatography. Figures 4A and 4B show the results of SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis, respectively.

4.3. Analysis of Binding Activity of the VHH Nanobody
The binding activity of the VHH nanobody was tested using Ls174t, HT29, and HeLa cell lines. At 6 μg.100 μL⁻¹ concentration, the highest binding activity was seen toward Ls174t cell line and followed by the HT29 cell line. Binding toward HeLa cell line was not significant (Fig. 5).

4.4. Western Blot on Cell Lines Using Isolated Nanobody
For recognizing the target for the isolated VHH, Western blot was carried out on the cell lysate of all cell lines. The target was found to be a protein with the molecular weight of 50 KDa on Ls174t and HT29 cell lines (Fig. 4-B).

4.5. Competitive ELISA
The competitive ELISA was used to ensure that the target for VHH nanobody is a tumor-associated antigen that induces the immune system of the patients with colorectal cancer. Sera isolated from patients and healthy individuals were used in a competitive ELISA with the VHH nanobody. The obtained results showed that unlike sera of the healthy individuals, the sera of the cancer patients contain antibodies capable of competing with the VHH nanobody for binding to the colorectal cancer cell lines, however the recombinant nanobody showed a higher binding capacity than the sera of patients (Fig. 6).

Figure 4. A) Respectively from right to left. Expression of nanobody in soluble form. Lane 1: un-induced negative control. Lane 2: Induced sample. Lane 3: Standard protein molecular marker. Lane 4: The purified nanobody using IMAC column. B) Western blot. Isolated nanobody was used as a primary antibody and anti His-tag antibody was used as secondary antibody. Extracts obtained from different cell types lines were loaded in different wells. Respectively from left to right. Lane 1: Cell extract of LS174T cell line. Lines 2: Cell extract of HT29 cell line. Line 3: Nanobody was loaded as a positive control.

Figure 5. The binding activity assessment of the isolated nanobody at different concentration. LS174T, HT29, and HeLa cell lines were used as the target. As seen LS174T with highest AgSk1 expression shows the highest absorbance.
5. Discussion
With an average life-expectancy of less than five years, colorectal cancer among the most common types of cancer in the world. It ranks the fourth in men and the third among women. It is also the third cause of cancer related mortality among both sexes (1).

The common treatment methods such as chemotherapy, surgery, and radiotherapy have found to be accompanied with a number of disadvantages. As well, among the other major problems associated with the common treatment methods are the late diagnosis and lack of specificity of the treating agents which affects the normal tissue and causes major tissue damage (2).

Lack of specificity in the current treatment strategies signifies the need for new diagnosis and treatment methods with higher specificity. The use of antibodies in diagnosis and therapy provide specificity and efficiency with the reduced side-effects compared to the common chemotherapy methods.

Various antibodies have been developed for the treatment of colorectal cancer (22-25). Several drawbacks are associated with the chimeric mouse-human antibodies such as high cost of production and the possibility of viral contamination, thereby limit their production and application. Another problem related to the development of antibodies by hybridoma technology is the HAMA (human anti-mouse antibody) response. The mouse domains of chimeric antibodies can induce an immunological response which results in the production of neutralizing antibodies, therefore, reduction of the effective dose with the possible side-effects.

Unlike hybridoma technology, phage display is fast and can be customized to obtain antibodies with the desired characteristics. The production of antibody
without immunization steps and ease of genetic manipulations are among the other advantages of the phage display over hybridoma technology.

Among antibody fragments, VHVs are more stable, and can easily be produced in microorganism in large scales (17). These antibody fragments are resistance to the temperature and proteolytic digestion by proteases, thus having longer shelf life and can be administrated orally (26). Lack of FC fragments in these antibodies reduces non-specific interactions (27). Currently, there are not too many specific tumor markers for the colorectal cancer. The introduction of AgSK1 as a specific tumor marker presents an opportunity for an early diagnosis and specific treatment of colorectal cancer, as well. Recent studies on this antigen have shown that antibodies against AgSK1 react with 11 colorectal cancer cell lines without showing reactivity toward normal cell lines such as the fibroblast (28). Also, these antibodies haven’t shown any cross reaction with the other antigens such as CEA, CA19-9, and TAG72 (28).

Based on these information we aimed to isolate a VH nanobody against the cell line that expresses the highest level of AgSK1. The reason for performing cell-based panning was the fact that AgSK1 gene still remains unknown. Furthermore, cDNA sequences obtained from the previous literature didn’t result in protein expression. Additionally, cell panning enables isolation of antibodies against the native cell surface proteins with a correct folding hence exhibiting more in vivo specific reactivity.

Williams et al. have immunized BALB/c mice using the colorectal cancer cell lines (SW480, SW948, and SW837)(29). ScFv library was prepared from the Fab fragments of the mice antibodies and was panned against SW480 and SW837 cell lines along with Ovalbumin (OVA) as a negative control. Antibodies isolated by cell panning have shown a high affinity toward the cells. The SW480 and SW837 cell lines showed an OD 2.5 fold higher than the negative control in the cell ELISA test. Although we didn’t obtain a 2.5 fold difference in the absorbance between the test and control reactions, but, we have used cell lines in the both test and control reactions, thereby we have eliminated the possibility of false-positive results and providing a condition resembling the in vivo setting. In addition, the naive library used in our research usually results in the antibodies with the less affinity compared to the immune libraries.

A similar study was conducted by Zhou et al. where the human scFv library was used for isolation of the antibody fragments against EGFR expressed in a prokaryotic system. The isolated antibodies were evaluated by ELISA using cell lines over-expressing EGFR (30). In addition, A431 cell line and skim milk were used as negative controls in the two different reactions. The scFv antibody against endothelial growth factor receptor 2 was used to evaluate non-specific binding. The absorbance for test reaction was 0.55 and that of the negative control was 0.05. The absorbance of cell lines alone was 0.2 which shows the false-positive results when the fixed cells are used for ELISA. The naive library was used in this study describes the low affinity of the isolated antibody. In our study, the absorbance of test reaction was 0.9 and that of the negative control was 0.18, slightly better than the results of Zhou et al.

The reactivity of the isolated VH nanobody with its potential target was tested by western blot analysis on cell lysates obtained from colorectal cell lines. The nanobody had a specific reaction against Ls174t and HT29 cell lines, but, no reactivity toward the HeLa cell lysate. The specificity of the nanobody was also tested by using competitive ELISA through application of sera obtained from patients suffering from colorectal cancer. Patients whom underwent chemotherapy or radiotherapy were excluded from the analysis due to the potential weakness of the immune system during these treatments. Unlike the sera of the healthy individuals, sera of the patients could compete with the isolated nanobody for binding to the cell surface antigens of the colorectal cancer cell lines. This reactivity was not seen for the other cell lines such as HeLa. This indicates that isolated nanobody can target a tumor associated antigen specific to the colorectal cells.

Although further research is needed to fully identify the binding target for this nanobody, however, our findings suggest that this nanobody has a specific reaction toward colorectal cells and can be used for further investigation on the tumor associated antigens or production of mimotopes useful for immunotherapy.
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